WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

October 14, 2010

TO:

Internal File

THRU:

Jim Smith, Permit Supervisor JS 190 track

FROM:

Steve Christensen Environmental Scientist Stee

RE:

2009 3rd Quarter Water Monitoring, Consolidation Coal Company, LLC,

Emery Deep Mine, C/015/0015, WQ09-3, Task ID #3380

The Emery Deep Mine is an active coalmine. The coal mining operation utilizes room and pillar mining techniques with the use of a continuous miner machine. The coal reserves are fully extracted (thus falling into the planned subsidence category).

The approved Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP) outlines the water monitoring requirements beginning on page VI-28. Table VI-17, *Emery Mine Hydrologic Monitoring Program* contains a comprehensive list of all groundwater (springs/seeps), surface water, groundwater monitoring wells and Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (UPDES) outfalls. Plate VI-4, *Ground Water Monitoring Well and Surface Water Monitoring Site Location Map* depicts the locations of the various ground and surface water monitoring sites (including the UPDES discharge/outfall points).

1. Was data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?

YES 🖾 NO 🗌

Springs

The MRP outlines the sampling of 5 springs within the permit and adjacent area. Flow and field parameters are sampled quarterly with water quality samples collected in the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} quarters.

The Permittee submitted data for all required springs: SP-10, SP-11, SP-13, SP-14 and SP-15.

Streams

The MRP outlines the sampling of 8 surface water monitoring stations within the permit and adjacent area. Surface water monitoring site SWMS-1 is actively monitored; however, not listed in the MRP.

The Permittee submitted data for all required stream monitoring sites.

Wells

The MRP outlines the sampling of 33 ground water monitoring wells within the permit and adjacent area. Of the 33 wells, 14 are monitored quarterly for water level only. The remaining 19 wells are sampled for water quality on a quarterly basis with the exception of wells RDA-1, RDA-2, RDA-3, RDA-4, RDA-5 and RDA-6 (sampled annually in the second quarter for both field parameters and water quality).

Six of the 33 well installations (AA, H, I, R2, T1 and T2)) contain clusters of casing completed to different depths within the underlying strata. Well AA contains four completions (AA-B, AA-L, AA-M and AA-U). Wells H and I contain four completions as well (H-B, H-L, H-M, H-U and I-B, I-L, I-M and I-U respectively). Well R2 contains three completions (R2-B, R2-M and R-U). Well T1 contains two completions (T1-B and T1-U). Well T2 contains two completions as well (T2-B and T2-U).

The Permittee submitted data for all required wells.

UPDES

The Emery Deep Mine's UPDES Permit, #UT0022616, identifies 9 outfalls (001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008 and 009). The discharges from each of the outfalls ultimately report to Quitchupah Creek, a tributary of Muddy Creek. The receiving waters are designated according to Utah Administrative Code (UAC) R317-2-13.1 as 2B, 3C and 4. Historically, only Outfalls 001 and 003 have ever recorded a discharge.

The Water Quality Board for the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) has approved a rule change that would allow for a site specific, in-stream standard for the Emery Deep's effluent limitations. The modified standard will establish an allowable TDS concentration of 3,800 parts per million (ppm) and a 2,000-ppm concentration of sulfate. DWQ representatives have indicated that they are waiting for Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) approval before the permit is modified from it's current standard of 3,500-ppm.

DWQ has been in negotiations with the Permittee for several years regarding a modification to their existing UPDES permit. The Permittee has entered into a compliance schedule as allowed under the rules of the Clean Water Act to modify their permit. The compliance schedule would produce a site-specific standard for the Emery Deep UPDES permit.

2B-Protected for secondary contact recreation such as boating, wading or

Page 3		
C015/00	15	
Task ID	#33	880
October	14,	2010

similar uses.

• 3- Protected for nongame fish and other aquatic life, including the necessary aquatic organisms in their food chain.

aquatic organisms in their jood chain.		
 4- Protected for agricultural uses including irrig watering. 	ation of crops a	nd stock
The Permittee submitted data for all required UPDES sit	es.	
2. Were all required parameters reported for each site?	YES	NO 🖂
Flows were submitted for all required spring monitoring water quality parameter data (as outlined in Table VI-17 of MRI		the required
3. Were any irregularities found in the data?	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
UPDES Sites		
Historically outfalls 002, 004, 005, 006, 007, 008 and 00 These outfalls did not report a flow for this quarter.	9 do not produc	e a discharge.
Outfalls 001 and 003 are the primary outlets for dischargencountered within the mine works. Neither Outfall 001 nor 00 entire quarter.		
4. On what date does the MRP require a five-year re-sampl	ing of baseline	water data.
There is no commitment in the MRP to resample for bas	eline parameters	5.
5. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do yo	u recommend?	
Continue to monitor the compliance schedule process cure Permittee and DWQ.	rrently underwa	y between the
Follow up with Permittee regarding the missing water qualitate that the approved water monitoring plan is now being adhered to	•	ork to ensure
6. Does the Mine Operator need to submit more information monitoring requirements? YES NO	n to fulfill this o	quarter's
There is still outstanding data from previous quarters tha	t the Operator is	s currently

There is still outstanding data from previous quarters that the Operator is currently working to address.

Page 4 C/015/0015 Task #3380 WQ09-3 October 14, 2010

Spring water quality data was not submitted for the 3rd quarter of 2008. Additionally, water quality data was not submitted for monitoring wells T1-B, TP-U and USGS 4-1 in the 4th quarter of 2008. Water quality data was not submitted for the Kemmerer water monitoring well for the 1st quarter of 2009. Spring water quality data was not submitted for any of the monitoring sites this quarter.

7. Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.

Work with Permittee in inputting missing data into the EDI and work to insure that the Permittee understands the water monitoring requirements as outlined in the approved Mining and Reclamation Plan (MRP).

8. Did the Mine Operator submit all the missing and/or irregular data?

No.

O:\015015.EME\Water Quality\WQ09-3.doc